XIII. ADJOURNMENT



OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

205 West St. Clair Avenue, Suite 301, Cleveland, Ohio 44113

CIVILIAN POLICE REVIEW BOARD

ONLINE WEBEX MEETING

Tuesday, April 12, 2022

MEETING AGENDA

I.	CALL TO ORDER	Michael Hess, Chair
II.	ROLL CALL & INTRODUCTIONS	
III.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES	CPRB
IV.	PUBLIC COMMENT	
V.	NEW BUSINESS	Michael Hess, Chair
VI.	CPRB INITIATED INVESTIGATION – VOTE ONLY	OPS Investigative Staff
VII.	PRSENTATION OF INVESTIGATIONS	OPS Investigative Staff
VIII.	ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS	
IX.	OPS REPORT	Henry Roney, Acting Administrator
	A. REVIEW OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY DECISIONS B. REVIEW OF DIRECTOR DISCIPLINARY DECISIONS	
X.	POLICY UPDATES	Michael Hess, Chair
XI.	COMMITTEE REPORTS	
XII.	UNFINISHED BUSINESS	



Tuesday, April 12, 2022

VI: CPRB INITIATED INVESTIGATION – VOTE ONLY

COU	NT OPS#	COMPLAINANT	INVESTIGATOR	ALLEGATION	CPRB DISPOSITION
1			Bowker	Unprofessional Conduct	P.O. Munko #1159 Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Motion: Second: P.O. Trinh #505 Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Motion: Second: P.O. Poole #1799 Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Motion: Second: P.O. Brown #1393 Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Second: Second: Second: Second: Second: Second: Second: Second: Second:



VII: PRESENTATION OF INVESTIGATIONS

	COUNT	OPS#	COMPLAINANT	INVESTIGATOR	ALLEGATION	CPRB DISPOSITION
	1	20-082	Burrage	Wynne	Improper Stop	Det. Hourihan #250
					Improper Citation Improper Search WCS Violation	Improper Stop Recommendation: Motion: Second:
						Improper Citation Recommendation: Motion: Second:
}_						Improper Search Recommendation: Motion: Second:
						Det. Hess #4 Improper Search Recommendation: Motion: Second:
						P.O. Walter #1683 WCS Violation Recommendation: Motion: Second:

Unprofessional

Conduct

Unprofessional

Conduct

P.O. Robles #2238

Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation:

Motion:_____Second:_____

P.O. Torres #10

Unprofessional Conduct
Recommendation:_____
Motion:_____
Second:

Wynne

2

4

20-213

Ailer

20-114

Lowery

Funari



5	20-243	Tisdale	Bowker	Lack of Service	P.O. Asfour #905
					Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:
					P.O. Herrin #2173 Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:
					P.O. Schinke #2448 Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:
					P.O. Kvach #254 Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:



6	20-273	Strickland	Bowker	Lack of Service	P.O. Johnson #595 Lack of Service Recommendation:
7	21-012	Carter	Hammons	Lack of Service	P.O. English #1982 Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second: P.O. Bachman #1996 Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:



	8	21-030	Gremonger	Hammons	Unprofessional Conduct	Sgt. Stoudmire #9168 Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Motion: Second:
7	9	21-095	Kitts	Hammons	Improper Arrest	P.O. Robinson #408 Improper Arrest Recommendation: Motion: Second: P.O. Gaston #2294 Improper Arrest Recommendation: Motion: Second:
	10	21-129	Spates	Delaney	Improper Stop Improper Detainment Improper Search Biased Policing WCS Violation	P.O. Donnellan #633 Improper Stop Recommendation: Motion: Second: Improper Detainment Recommendation: Motion: Second: Improper Search Recommendation: Motion: Second: Biased Policing Recommendation: Motion: Second: Second: Biased Policing Recommendation: Motion: Second: Second:

____7



			OLICE RE		
10	21-129 (cnt'd)	Spates	Delaney	Improper Stop	P.O. Kloock #1033
	(crita)			Improper Detainment	Improper Stop Recommendation:
				Improper Search	Motion:
				Biased Policing	
				WCS Violation	Improper Detainment Recommendation: Motion: Second:
					Improper Search Recommendation: Motion: Second:
3					Biased Policing Recommendation: Motion: Second:
					P.O. Meehan #2439
					WCS Violation Recommendation: Motion:
					Motion: Second:
11	21-184	Jones	Delaney	Biased Policing	Sgt. Chapman #9232
		5555	_ 5.55,		Biased Policing Recommendation: Motion: Second:
					Second:



	12	21-192	Starr	Delaney	Lack of Service	Det. Loomis #2416
						Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:
						Det. Schultz #508
						Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:
	13	21-236	Blaginykh	Merritt	Lack of Service	P.O. Ross #2429
						Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second:
) -	14	21-265	Whitaker	Harris	Lack of Service	Det. Smith #1622 Lack of Service
						Recommendation: Motion: Second:
	15	21-267	Jones	Merritt	Unprofessional Conduct	P.O. Sudberry #1703
						Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation:
						Motion:Second:
	16	21-289	Fomby	Merritt	Unprofessional Conduct	Sgt. Allen #9167
					Improper Citation	Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation:
						Motion: Second:
						Improper Citation Recommendation:
						Motion: Second:

	17	21-290	Jenkins/Hooks	Harris	Lack of Service	P.O. Robinson #408
					Unprofessional Conduct	Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second: Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Motion: Second:
0	18	21-311	Friedman	Delaney	Lack of Service Unprofessional Conduct	P.O. Muniz #1568 Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second: Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Motion: Second:
	19	22-003	Cox	Harris	Lack of Service Unprofessional Conduct	Det. Orr #2320 Lack of Service Recommendation: Motion: Second: Unprofessional Conduct Recommendation: Motion: Second:



IX (A). REIVEW OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY DECISIONS

	COUNT	OPS#	COMPLAINANT	INVESTIGATOR	RECOMMENDATION	CHIEF DECISION
	1	20-085	McCree-Wilson	Hammons	Lack of Service – the	Chief Drummond departed
					CPRB recommended	from the CPRB
					a Group II discipline	recommendation and
					level for P.O. Claudio	dismissed the allegation of
					#1563 for his failure	Lack of Service against P.O.
					to arrest the primary	Claudio, stating that P.O.
					aggressor even after	Claudio correctly determined
					the Complainant	that the relationship of the
					stated she had been	suspect and Complainant
					punched in the face	(cousins) did not rise to the
					and was in pain. P.O.	level of consanguinity as it
					Claudio also	relates to the crime of Domestic
					determined that it	Violence, and therefore P.O.
					was a misdemeanor assault before	Claudio did not violate the Domestic Violence enforcement
					interviewing the	rule. Chief Drummond further
					Complainant's	stated that there is no duty to
					assailant.	arrest the primary physical
					assanari.	aggressor in misdemeanor
ı						assault cases, especially when
-						an officer is not present at the
						time of the assault.





the CPRB recommended a Group I discipline level for Lack of Service when, upon learning that the Complainant's driver's license was suspended, ceased in assisting the Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AMD a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from the ex-boyfriend's	ck of Service amitz a and, citing vehicle was dicease in ainant to perty. eparted endation allegation onduct,
level for Lack of Service when, upon learning that the Complainant's driver's license was suspended, ceased in assisting the Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AMD a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	nmitz a nd, citing vehicle was d cease in ainant to perty. eparted endation allegation onduct,
when, upon learning that the Complainant's driver's license was suspended, ceased in assisting the Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	nd, citing vehicle was d cease in ainant to perty. eparted endation allegation onduct,
that the Complainant's driver's license was suspended, ceased in assisting the Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minmal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	vehicle was d cease in ainant to perty. eparted endation allegation onduct,
that the Complainants driver's license was suspended, ceased in assisting the Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as he was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	vehicle was d cease in ainant to perty. eparted endation allegation onduct,
active s license was suspended, ceased in assisting the Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AMD a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant seems as seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	d cease in ainant to perty. eparted endation allegation onduct,
assisting the Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	ainant to perty. eparted endation allegation onduct,
Complainant in obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	eparted endation allegation onduct,
obtaining her legal property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	eparted endation allegation onduct,
property, ordered her vehicle to be towed, refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	endation allegation onduct,
refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	endation allegation onduct,
refused her request that a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	allegation onduct,
a licensed driver be allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	onduct,
allowed to take possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	· ·
possession of the vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	mitz and his I
vehicle, and failed to provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
provide minimal protection for the Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
Complainant's safety when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
when he ordered her off the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
the scene on foot, leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
leaving her vulnerable to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	•
to the aggressions of her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	the
her boyfriend; AND a Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
Group I discipline level for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
for Unprofessional Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
Conduct, for allowing the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
the Complainant's agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
agitated ex-boyfriend to approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
approach and yell at the Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
Complainant as she was seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
seated in her vehicle a few houses down from	
the ex-boyfriend's	
residence and again	
when he ordered the	
Complainant away from	
the scene after calling	
for the tow of her	
personal vehicle and	
instructing her to walk	
to the gas station at the end of the street alone.	
end of the street dione.	



Ţ	3	20-212	Fitzgerald	Delaney	P.O. Nussbaum #325	Chief Drummond concurred
	J	20-212	i itzgeralu	Delatiey	- the CPRB	with the CPRB recommendation
					recommended a	and issued P.O. Nussbaum a
					Group I discipline	Letter of Reinstruction for
					level for a WCS	the WCS Violation and a six-
					Violation, a Group II	day suspension for the
					discipline level for	allegation of Failure to Provide
					Failure to Provide	Medical Attention.
					Medical Assistance for	ricultur Accention.
					his failure to contact	Chief Drummond departed
					emergency medical	from CPRB recommendation
					services for the	and dismissed the allegation
					Complainant and her	Failure to Investigate for P.O.
					boyfriend after they	Nussbaum, citing that P.O.
					requested it AND a	Nussbaum was on scene for 30
					Group II discipline	minutes and determined that
					level for Failure to	the Complainant was not
					Investigate for P.O.	intoxicated when they returned
					Nussbaum's failure to	her keys and ordered her to
					investigate the	drive from the scene. Further,
					Complainant's claims	P.O. Woods' WCS captured P.O.
					that the Complainant	Woods saying, "I don't smell
,					was intoxicated	anything."
2					before giving the	
					Complainant vehicle	
					keys and ordering her	
					to drive away.	

<u>1</u>3





-1	5
-	

OF PROFES	SIONAL STA
SOLUTION N	SIONAL STANDARD
CHAILAN POLICE	REVIEWBOR

4	20-255	Riggins	Delaney	Improper Procedure – the CPRB recommended a Group I discipline level for Sgt. Ciritovic #9107 for notarizing an affidavit that declared the Complainant's brother's vehicle was disposed of in accordance with ORC 4513.63 despite the vehicle not meeting the statutory requirements of this law.	Chief Drummond departed from CPRB recommendation and dismissed the allegation against Sgt. Ciritovic, citing that Sgt. Ciritovic did not violate ORC 4513.63 when she notarized the Ohio Bureau of Motor Vehicles Unclaimed and Abandoned Junk Motor Vehicle Affidavit and that Sgt. Ciritovic only notarized the signature of the person that had completed the affidavit and not the information contained in the affidavit.
5	21-101	Barnes	Merritt	P.O. Blade #1129 – the CPRB recommended a Group II discipline level for the allegation of Lack of Service and P.O. Blade's failure to timely communicate with the Complainant regarding his intentions to tow her vehicle and failing to ensure the safety of the Complainant and her passengers and not allowing someone else to take possession of the Complainant's vehicle in lieu of a tow due to being unaware that conditions existed wherein he could release the Complainant's vehicle to a licensed driver AND a Group I discipline level for Improper Tow for failing to release the Complainant's vehicle to a licensed driver instead of towing the vehicle.	Chief Drummond departed from CPRB recommendation and amended the discipline level of Lack of Service from a Group II to a Group I. Chief Drummond cited that P.O. Blade being "unaware" of the Vehicle Tow or Release Policy and his failure to properly communicate with the Complainant, combined with no demonstrable serious risk to the Complainant or her passengers comported more with a Group I violation. Chief Drummond issued P.O. Blade a Written Reprimand for Lack of Service and a Letter of Reinstruction for the Improper Tow.





IX (B). REVIEW OF DIRECTOR DISCIPLINARY DECISIONS

1 20-196 Allen Delaney Failure to Report	IX (D		TILIT OF DI	KEC. CK BISCI	I LINAKI DECISI	
the CPRB recommended a Group I discipline level for P.O. Gaston #2294 when she failed to complete a crisis intervention report and stat sheet after her interaction with the Complainant's tenant. Lack of Service – the CPRB recommended a Group II discipline level for P.O. Sanchez #2503 when he failed to properly assess the scene and gather necessary information to determine if the Complainant's tenant was a danger to himself or others AND a Group I discipline level for the Complainant's tenant was a danger to himself or others AND a Group II discipline level for his <i>Failure to Report</i> when, after learning that the	COUNT	OPS#	COMPLAINANT	INVESTIGATOR	RECOMMENDATION	DIRECTOR DECISION
CPRB recommended a Group II discipline level for P.O. Sanchez #2503 when he failed to properly assess the scene and gather necessary information to determine if the Complainant's tenant was a danger to himself or others AND a Group I discipline level for his Failure to Report when, after learning that the	1	20-196	Allen	Delaney	the CPRB recommended a Group I discipline level for P.O. Gaston #2294 when she failed to complete a crisis intervention report and stat sheet after her interaction with the	seven-day suspension for her Failure to Report, as well as ordering her to read GPO 5.11.03 and be instructed on that GPO by a superior officer within five days of returning
Complainant's tenant was hallucinating that people were inside his mattress threatening him, took no action and failed to generate any reports about the tenant's mental health crisis.	.6				CPRB recommended a Group II discipline level for P.O. Sanchez #2503 when he failed to properly assess the scene and gather necessary information to determine if the Complainant's tenant was a danger to himself or others AND a Group I discipline level for his <i>Failure to Report</i> when, after learning that the Complainant's tenant was hallucinating that people were inside his mattress threatening him, took no action and failed to generate any reports about the tenant's mental	eight-day suspension for his Lack of Service and Failure to Report, as well as ordering him to read GPO 5.11.03 and be



	20-196			<i>Lack of Service</i> – the	Director Howard concurred
1	(cnt'd)	Allen	Delaney	CPRB recommended	with the CPRB recommendation
				a Group II discipline	and issued P.O. Taylor an
				level for P.O. Taylor	eight-day suspension for his
				#1090 AND a	Lack of Service and Failure to
				Group I discipline	report, as well as ordering him
				level for his <i>Failure to</i>	to read GPO 5.11.03 and be
				<i>Report,</i> same	instructed on that GPO by a
				rationale as above for	superior officer within five days
				P.O. Sanchez.	of returning from suspension.
				<u>Failure to Report</u> –	Director Howard concurred
				The CPRB	with CRPB recommendation
				recommended a	and issued Sgt. Boddy a ten-
				Group I discipline	day suspension for his Failure
				level for Sgt. Boddy	to Report, as well as ordering
				#9254 for his failure	him to read GPO 5.11.03 and
				to ensure that crisis	be instructed on that GPO by a
				intervention and stat	superior officer within five days
				sheet reports were	of returning from suspension.
				completed and	
				forwarded, especially	
				after providing	
-				guidance to P.O.	
				Gaston during her	
				interaction with the	
				Complainant's tenant	
				on scene.	